

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/422,046 | | 10/20/1999 | STEPHEN J. BROWN | 014030.0110N13US | 5000 |
| 32042 | 7590 | 03/24/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| PATTON I 8484 WEST | | | MORGAN, ROBERT W | | |
| SUITE 900 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MCLEAN, | VA 2210 | 2 | 3626 | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/422,046 | BROWN, STEPHEN J. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Robert W. Morgan | 3626 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apprend for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the co | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED | l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju | ne 2005 | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| <i>'</i> = | ·— | | | | | | |
| ,— | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>70,71,76,77,110-123,126-146,148-166,169-186 and 189-221</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>126-127, 147, 167-168 and 187-188</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | • | | | | | | |
| | 66 160-186 and 180-221 are subi | ect to restriction and/or election | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 70,71,76,77,110-123, 126-146, 148-166,169-186 and 189-221 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign and All by Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National Stage | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (| PTO-413) | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| | · - | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 70-71, 76-77, 110-123, 126-146, 148-166, 169, 170-186 and 189, drawn to a network health monitoring system including a display, data management unit, central server and remotely located health care professional computer, classified in class 705, subclass 2.
- II. Claims 190-221, drawn to a health data monitoring and management system including a physiological monitoring device, electric data management unit and a data storage and processing clearinghouse, classified in class 705, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a network of remotely located patient sites using data management units to communication information to the remotely located health care professional computer. Invention II has separate utility such as one or more physiological monitoring devices used to transmit signals to a clearinghouse without using a data management unit. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is not required for other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3626

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

NOTE: No telephone communication was made because the requirement for this restriction is complex and the examiner knows from past experience that an election will not be made by telephone (see MPEP § 812.01).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W. Morgan whose telephone number is (571) 272-6773. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/422,046

Art Unit: 3626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Morgan
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3626

Page 4